

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: PROCTER & GAMBLE AEROSOL  
PRODUCTS MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES  
LITIGATION

**1:21-CV-06725**

MDL No. 3025

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -1)**

On April 7, 2022, the Panel transferred 8 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* F.Supp.3d (J.P.M.L. 2022). Since that time, no additional action(s) have been transferred to the Southern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Michael H. Watson.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Watson.



Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Southern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of April 7, 2022, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Michael H. Watson.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:



John W. Nichols  
Clerk of the Panel



**FILED**  
4/18/2022

RC

THOMAS G. BRUTON  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

**IN RE: PROCTER & GAMBLE AEROSOL  
PRODUCTS MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 3025

**SCHEDULE CTO-1 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<b><u>DIST</u></b>	<b><u>DIV.</u></b>	<b><u>C.A.NO.</u></b>	<b><u>CASE CAPTION</u></b>
FLORIDA MIDDLE			
FLM	6	22-00056	Martinez v. The Procter & Gamble Company
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	1	21-24426	Clayton v. The Procter & Gamble Co.
ILLINOIS NORTHERN			
ILN	1	21-06725	Bernsee et al v. The Procter & Gamble Company
ILLINOIS SOUTHERN			
ILS	3	21-01723	Dethrow v. Procter & Gamble Company
MASSACHUSETTS			
MA	1	21-12057	Hudnall v. The Procter & Gamble Company
NEW YORK EASTERN			
NYE	1	21-06934	Freund v. The Procter & Gamble Company
NEW YORK SOUTHERN			
NYS	1	21-11212	Asencio v. Procter & Gamble Company
PENNSYLVANIA WESTERN			
PAW	3	21-00216	LABELLA v. THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY
SOUTH CAROLINA			
SC	3	22-00080	Hernandez v. Procter & Gamble Company, The